DNA-DNA interactions

Helmut H Strey*†, Rudi Podgornik*‡, Donald C Rau§ and V Adrian Parsegian*#

The forces that govern DNA double helix organization are being finally systematically measured. The non-specific longer-range interactions — such as electrostatic interactions, hydration, and fluctuation forces — that treat DNA as a featureless rod are reasonably well recognized. Recently, specific interactions — such as those controlled by condensing agents or those consequent to helical structure — are beginning to be recognized, quantified and tested.

Addresses

*National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Laboratory of Physical and Structural Biology, Building 12A, Room 2041, Bethesda, MD 20892-5626, USA te-mail: strey@helix.nih.gov

te-mail: rudi@helix.nih.gov

e-mail: VAP@CU.NIH.GOV

§Office of the Director, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 30892, USA; e-mail: donrau@helix.nih.gov

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 1998, 8:309-313

http://biomednet.com/elecref/0959440X00800309

Current Biology Ltd ISSN 0959-440X

Introduction

To ask about the physical forces and energies that involve DNA molecules is to ask whether there is more to DNA than its ability to carry the genetic code. During the initial excitement of cracking the code, it was tempting to set aside the idea that the packing of DNA in the cell relates to gene expression. Now that the controlled expression of genes is of primary interest, the work of packaging DNA is again an issue. The mechanical properties of DNA [1,2**] (persistence length, torsional rigidity), its polyelectrolyte character [3**] (charge density, counterion condensation), hydration [4] (counterion specificity, interactions with ligands) and liquid-crystalline packing properties [5] (mesophases and transitions between them) are all systematically investigated. DNA-DNA and protein-DNA interactions have become most pertinent.

So massive are these investigations that, in this opinion, we reluctantly omit material from several related topics. Thriving subjects — DNA condensation (expertly reviewed in this series in 1996 [6]), protein—DNA interactions, DNA supercoiling and the statistical mechanics of rod-like particles — appear only tangentially; we will refer to these subjects only when discussing the forces that underlie them. We examine the DNA liquid crystals upon which the force and energy measurements are being made. We review these measurements and then discuss them in terms of their component repulsive and attractive forces.

During this review, we also suggest an experimenal strategy for gauging the strength and specificity of the physical forces between DNA molecules.

DNA liquid crystals - ordering in solution

As functions of salt species and concentration, of DNA stiffness and length, of temperature and osmotic stress, and of the activities of polycationic condensing agents [6], DNA, salt and water mixtures assemble into a taxonomy of beautiful liquid-crystalline phases of different symmetries and densities [5]. Over a wide range of DNA concentrations, entropic packing constraints are sufficient to align the molecules into orientational order but they are not strong enough to induce long-range positional order (crystallinity), hence 'liquid crystal'.

In gravimetric mixtures, two or more phases can co-exist. The activities of salt, water and DNA itself are equal in co-existing phases but are not yet known. In liquid-crystal samples prepared at known water (osmotic) and salt activities, the chemical potential of DNA at different concentrations can immediately be determined. Under these osmotic stressing conditions, it is possible to measure the repulsive forces between DNA molecules [7].

Condensing agents [3] that induce attractive interactions between DNA molecules can concentrate DNA enough to form liquid-crystalline phases. These agents are, typically, multivalent ions like manganese, cobalt hexammine, spermine, spermine, spermidine [8,9], protamine, polycations such as those used for nonviral gene therapy [10] and perhaps even polysaccharides such as levan [11]. Pelta et al. [12] showed that DNA forms both columnar and cholesteric phases when condensed by spermidine.

For reasons not stated, many gravimetric DNA, salt and agent preparations are diluted or concentrated by adding or evaporating water. If the DNA precipitates and if — as is probable — the agent stabilizes the condensate, then the agent: DNA ratio will be higher in the condensed phase. The consequence of this partitioning is that the active concentrations of agent or salt will change in the supernatant. The two phases will co-exist under conditions in which the activities of salt, agent and DNA are not known.

DNA condensation under osmotic stress has been combined with condensation by polycationic agents [13]. Different ratios of osmotic stress and polycation concentration have been used to measure the energies of condensation as well as the forces by which these agents hold the DNA molecules at finite but small (< 1 nm) separations. The chemical potentials are under control. With the Gibbs-Duhem equation, one can use the known

Modern biochemical and molecular biological techniques allow one to prepare monodisperse solutions of DNA whose length ranges from a few nanometers to several micrometers. This facility makes it possible to address fundamental questions. What determines the concentration at which a polymer solution expels a liquid-crystalline phase and how does this concentration depend upon DNA length [15**]?

A recent cryo-electron microscopy study shows how bacteriophage T7 DNA packs inside its virus capsid [16**]. DNA appears to spool axially around a connector core. This work addresses the old question as to how DNA packs into small spaces. The authors speak of quasi-crystalline packing, although liquid crystallinity cannot be ruled out.

Repulsive interactions

Liquid crystalline order enables direct measurement of intermolecular forces. In the osmotic stress method, DNA liquid crystals are equilibrated against neutral polymer solutions of known osmotic pressure, pH, temperature and ionic strength [17]. After equilibration, DNA-DNA separation is measured either by X-ray scattering, if the DNA subphase is sufficiently ordered, or by straightforward densitometry. The known DNA density and osmotic stress immediately provide an equation of state that can be codified in analytic form for the entire phase diagram. Then, with the local packing symmetry derived from X-ray scattering [17,18], if necessary corrected for DNA motion [19**], it is possible to extract the bare interaxial forces between molecules. In vivo observation of DNA liquid crystals [20] shows that the amount of stress needed for compaction and liquid-crystalline ordering is the same for DNA in vitro [21].

Direct force measurements, performed on DNA in univalent salt solutions, reveal two types of purely repulsive interactions between DNA double helices. At surface separations of less than ~1 nm (interaxial separation ~3 nm), an exponentially varying 'hydration' repulsion is thought to originate from partially ordered waters near the DNA surface. At surface separations greater than I nm, measured interactions reveal an electrostatic double-layer repulsion, arising from the negative phosphates along the DNA backbone. The measurements give no evidence for a significant DNA-DNA attraction. Charge fluctuation forces must certainly occur, although they appear to be negligible at least for liquid-crystal formation in monovalent ion solutions. At these larger separations, the doublelayer repulsion often couples with configurational fluctuations and create exponentially decaying forces whose decay length is significantly larger than the expected Debye screening length [19**].

Short-range molecular interactions between DNA molecules appear to be insensitive to the amount of added salt.

This has been taken to be evidence that they are not electrostatic in origin [22]. The term 'hydration force' associates these short-range forces with perturbations of the water structure around the DNA [18]. Alternatively, short-range repulsion has been viewed as a consequence of the electrostatic force that is specific to high DNA density and counterion concentration [23].

A recent measurement of the equation of state of DNA liquid crystals showed that, in addition to the bare hydration interactions at surface separations < 1 nm, there is also a strong configurational entropic contribution to the effective repulsion between DNA molecules [17,19°°]. This fluctuation-enhanced repulsion comes from both coupling between bending fluctuations and screened electrostatic interactions between DNA molecules. These fluctuations mask the bare electrostatic force so that it appears only in its fluctuation-modified form. From these measurements, the form of the bare electrostatic repulsion, as well as the effective charge densities along the chain, can be extracted.

Measured DNA-DNA interactions between condensed molecules differ qualitatively from the predictions of the electrostatic double-layer theory. This is particularly true for DNA condensed by polycations. DNA that repelled with an exponential of characteristic length 3 Å when there was insufficient polycation for condensation was seen to repel with a characteristic distance of 1.5 Å after the DNA condensed [13]. As long as sufficient polycation is present to cause precipitation, the residual repulsion is independent of polycation and univalent salt concentrations. The halving of the decay constant has been rationalized in terms of a solvation picture in which there are regions of attraction and repulsion [22].

Attractive interactions

Unfortunately there is no means for direct measurement of DNA-DNA attractive interactions. Force measurements made without administration of condensing agents reveal only repulsive forces. With condensing agents, DNA will precipitate or, with inadequate amounts of agent, exhibit weakened repulsion.

Some ions (e.g. manganese) show a temperature-dependent attraction [24]. The attraction increases with increasing temperature. Condensed DNAs move closer together as the preparation is heated. Shrinkage of an assemblage held at constant osmotic stress is tantamount to an increase in entropy. Such an increase can be 'solvent entropy', caused by the release of water structured around the isolated DNA, or it can be due to the counterionic fluctuations that increase with molecular approach [25,26]. This latter concept goes all the way back to the work of Oosawa [27] and has recently been upgraded by numerical model simulations [28*] as well as analytical calculations [29,30*,31*]. It is not easy to distinguish between the two without paying overdue quantitative attention to the consequence of varied salt concentration and salt type.

Chaotropic (water structure breaking) anions, added to DNA solutions, qualitatively encourage DNA precipitation at low levels of condensing agent. It has been argued that this sensitivity to the entropy of water in the bath, as a result of the chaotropic ion, indicates an important contribution due to the release of water. All condensing agents, from manganese, cobalt hexammine and the polyamines to the cationic protein protamine that wraps into the DNA grooves, show the same exponential repulsion, with 1.5 Å characteristic length [13].

Different agents condense DNA into different DNA-DNA separations; however, these separations are independent of salt and agent concentration 13]. It would be startling if the attractions that condense DNA in all these cases were driven by ionic fluctuations.

An alternative mechanism is the presumed attraction between polycations and the counterions present in the space between them [32,33]. These attractive forces bear some similarity to the forces in wetting or to forces between two macroscopic hydrophobic moieties. These ideas are still quite controversial, however, and are not generally accepted.

Intimations of repulsive Interactions

Under physiological conditions, DNA is highly charged (two negative charges per base pair or 3.4 Å of its length). In an electrolyte solution, the DNA's net negative charge creates an accumulation of counterions close to its surface. These counterions that screen part of the bare charge and lead to an 'effective' charge density that is felt at long distances. In principle, this effect can be captured by a nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann theory [34].

In electrophoretic mobility measurements, it is the 'effective' charge density that is responsible for the force dragging the molecule through solutions or gels when an electric field is applied. The problems with interpreting electrophoretic mobility measurements are discussed in detail in [35]. Although extensive measurements were performed on DNA [36-38], the resulting 'effective' charge densities come out at between 10% and 60% [38,39] of the bare charge of DNA. This discrepancy exists not because of experimental uncertainty, but because of the different theoretical treatments of the measured mobilities.

Intimations of attractive interactions

A few experimental results exist that suggest the presence of attractive interactions under noncondensing conditions. Some light scattering experiments [40,41] in a 1:1 electrolyte solution at high concentration (1M NaCl) indicate aggregation. The onset of the double-twisting blue phase [5] is an alternative possibility. Similarly, electron micrographs of the tightening of supercoils [42], as a function of increasing salt concentration, suggest strong side by side associations. Other light scattering and fluoresence results contradict these findings [43].

Under salt-free conditions, isotropic solutions of short fragment DNA solutions show a pronounced peak for the structure factor measured by small angle X-ray scattering [44]. Such peaks are well known from other studies in polyelectrolyes [45] and have sometimes been interpreted as indicating attractive interactions between polymers.

Helix-specific interactions

Kornyshev and Leikin [46**], recently solved the formidable problem of a helix-helix interaction that takes into account the helical pitch, the number of helical strands, the spacing between charges or other chemical groups along the helix, and the number of base pairs per turn. Their formulation applies to hydration forces and electrostatic double-layer forces. From simple symmetry considerations, the theory shows how repulsion can weaken and even turn to attraction depending upon small changes in the helical charge pattern caused, for example, by counterion adsorption. For example, there is a slight difference between the helical pitch of DNA in solution [47] compared to DNA in any condensed array [48]. Their theory connects the short decay constant of hydration repulsion between guanosine four-stranded helices [49,50**] and between collagen triple helices [51] with the helical pitch of these structures.

Helix interaction is so specific that there is an optimal orientation angle between two interacting helices; the tendency is essentially to lock the two molecules into a separation-dependent angle [46°°]. This tight orientational preference might be the essential element in analyses of the transition from hexagonal arrays to cholesteric packing [52°].

Conclusions

Measured forces and free energies and entropies compel more critical testing of molecular assembly theories. Use of this information will be especially valuable in the examination of supercoiling, condensation and even protein-DNA interaction. Speculation about causative forces can not be validated without directly measuring these forces. Experiments without well defined thermodynamic variables will produce many effects but not enable careful thought about cause and effect.

Liquid-crystalline phases are best routinely prepared under osmotic stress rather than under stoichiometric conditions. Otherwise there is no way of knowing the ionic and water activities that create the ordered structures under examination. The typical neglect of the chemical potentials of water, salt and DNA are as self-defeating as it would be to ignore pH and temperature.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest
- Hagerman PJ: Flexibility of DNA. Annu Rev Biophys Biophys Chem 1988, 17:265-286.

Baumann CG, Smith SB, Bloomfield VA, Bustamante C: Ionic effects on the elasticity of single DNA molecules. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997, 94:6185-6190,

The authors describe how pulling on a single DNA molecule reveals that the apparent DNA persistence length changes with ionic strength and salt valency.

- Bloomfield VA, Crothers DM, Tinoco I (Eds): Nucleic Acids:
- Structures, Properties, and Functions. Mill Valley: University Science Books: 1998.

The long awaited second edition of a widely respected text.

- Kochoyan M, Leroy JL: Hydration and solution structure of nucleic acids. Curr Opin Struct Biol 1995, 5:329-33.
- Livolant F, Leforestier A: Condensed phases of DNA: Structures and phase transitions. Prog Polym Sci 1996, 21:1115-1164.
- Bloomfield VA: DNA condensation: Curr Opin Struct Biol 1996, 6:334-341.
- Podgomik R, Strey HH, Rau DC, Parsegian VA: Watching molecules crowd: DNA double helices under osmotic stress. Biophys Chem 1995, 57:111-21.
- Raspaud E, Olvera de la Cruz M, Sikorav J-L, Livolant F: Precipitation of DNA by polyamines: a polyelectrolyte behavior. Biophys J 1998, 74:381-393.
- Pelta J, Livolant F, Sikorav J-L: DNA aggregation induced by polyamines and cobalthexamine. J Biol Chem 1996, 271:5656-5662.
- Kabanov AV, Kabanov VA: DNA Complexes with polycations for the delivery of genetic material into cells. Bioconjug Chem 1995, 6:7-20.
- 11. Huber AE, Viney C: Supramolecular liquid crystallinity: spherical colls of levan surrounding cylindrical rods of DNA. Phys Rev Lett 1997, 80:623-626.
- 12. Pelta J, Durand D, Doucet J, Livolant F: DNA mesophases induced by spermidine: structural properties and biological implications. Biophys J 1996, 71:48-63
- 13. Rau DC, Parsegian VA: Direct measurement of the Intermolecular forces between counterion-condensed DNA double helices: evidence for long range attractive hydration forces. Biophys J 1992, 61:246-259.
- 14. Leikin S, Rau DC, Parsegian VA: Measured entropy and enthalpy of hydration of a function of distance between DNA double helices. Phys Rev A 1991, 44:5272-5278.
- 15. Merchant K, Rill RL: DNA length and concentration dependencies of anisotropic phase transitions of DNA solutions. Biophys J 1997, 73:3154-3163

This study addresses the important polymer liquid crystal question as to how the isotropic to anisotropic transition concentration depends upon DNA length.

Cerriteli ME, Cheng N, Rosenberg AH, McPherson CE, Booy FP, Steven AC: Encapsidated conformation of bacteriophage T7 DNA. Cell 1997, 91:271-280.

This paper gives remarkably detailed electron microscopic pictures of DNA inside its virus capsid.

- Podgomik R, Rau DC, Parsegian VA: Parametrization of direct and soft steric-undulatory forces between DNA double helical polyelectrolytes in solutions of several different anions and cations. Biophys J 1994, 66:962-71.
- 18. Rau DC, Lee BK, Parsegian VA: Measurement of the repulsive force between polyelectrolyte molecules in ionic solution: hydration forces between parallel DNA double helices. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1984, 81:2621-2625.
- 19. Strey HH, Parsegian VA, Podgornik R: Equation of state for DNA liquid crystals: fluctuation enhanced electrostatic repulsion. Phys Rev Lett 1997, 78:895-898.

This paper reveals that bending fluctuations enhance the Debye screening length as well as the range of electrostatic repulsion between DNA molecules.

- 20. Reich Z, Wachtel EJ, Minsky A: In vivo quantitative characterization of intermolecular interactions. J Biol Chem 1995, 270:7045-6,
- 21. Levin-Zaidman S, Reich Z, Wachtel EJ, Minsky A: Flow of structural information between four DNA conformational levels. Biochemistry 1996, 35:2985-2991.
- 22. Leikin S, Parsegian VA, Rau DC, Rand RP: Hydration forces. Annu Rev Phys Chem 1993, 44:369-395.

- 23. Lyubartsev AP, Nordenskiöld L: Monte Carlo simulation study of ion distribution and osmotic pressure in hexagonally oriented DNA. Phys Chem 1995, 99:10373-10382.
- Rau DC, Parsegian VA: Direct measurement of temperature-dependent solvation forces between DNA double helices. Biophys J 1992, 61:260-271.
- 25. Kjellander R: Ion-ion correlations and effective charges in electrolyte and macrolon systems. Ber Bunsen Ges Phys Chem Phys 1996, 100:894-904.
- 26. Rouzina I, Bloomfield VA: Macro-lon attraction due to electrostatic correlation between screening counterions. 1. Mobile surfaceadsorbed ions and diffues ion cloud. J Phys Chem 1996, 100:9977-9989.
- 27. Oosawa F: Polyelectrolytes. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1971.
- 28. Gronbech-Jensen N, Mashi RJ, Bruinsma RF, Gelbart WM: Counterion-induced attraction between rigid polyelectrolytes. Phys Rev Lett 1997, 78:2477.

A reconsideration of the counterion fluctuation forces between cylindrical molecules. A connection is made between the ordering of counterions and the onset of attraction.

- 29. Barrat J-L, Joanny J-F: Theory of polyelectrolyte solutions. In Advances in Chemical Physics. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1995:1-66.
- 30. Ha BJ, Liu AJ: Counterion-mediated attraction between two likecharged rods. Phys Rev Lett 1997, 79:1289-1292. The authors show that counterion fluctuation forces appear to persist even if

the counterions along the cylindrical molecules are completely disordered.

- Podgomik R, Parsegian VA: Charge fluctuation forces between stiff polyelectrolytes in salt solutions: pairwise summability reexamined. Phys Rev Lett 1997, 80:1560-1563.
- A paper with a strong caveat regarding the nonpairwise additivity of correlation attractions in arrays of cylindrical molecules, since counterion fluctuations are not localized to individual chains.
- Ray J, Manning GS: Effect of counterion valence and polymer charge density on the pair potential of two polylons. Macromolecules 1997, 30:5739-5744.
- 33. Ise N: Long-range electrostatic attraction between macrolons mediated by oppositely charged counterions: experimental supports, past and present. Ber Bunseng Ges Phys Chem Phys 1996, 100:841-848.
- 34. Stigter D: Evaluation of the counterion condensation theory of polyelectrolytes. Biophys J 1995, 69:380-388.
- 35. Hoagland DA, Smisek DL, Chen DY: Gel and free solution electrophoresis of variably charged polymers. Electrophoresis 1996, 17:1151-1160.
- 36. Ross PD, Scruggs RL: Electrophoresis of DNA, III. The effect of several univalent electrolytes on the mobility of DNA. Biopolymers 1964, 2:231-236,
- Stellwagen NC, Gelfi C, Righetti PG: The free solution mobility of DNA. Biopolymers 1997, 42:687-703.
- Laue TM, Ridgeway TM, Wooll JO, Shepard HK, Moody TP, Wilson TJ, Chaires JB, Stevenson DA: Insights from a new analystical electrophoresis apparatus. J Pharm Sci 1996, 85:1331-1335.
- 39. Schellman JA, Stigter D: Electrical double layer, zeta potential, and electrophoretic charges of double-stranded DNA. Biopolymers 1977, 16:1415-1434.
- 40. Wissenburg P, Odijk T, Cirkel P, Mandel M: Multimolecular aggregation in concentrated isotropic solutions of mononucleosomal DNA in 1M sodium cloride. Macromolecules 1994, 27:306-308.
- 41. Wissenburg P, Odijk T, Cirkel P, Mandel M: Multimolecular aggregation of mononucleosomal DNA in concentrated isotropic solutions. Macromolecules 1995, 28:2315-2328.
- Bednar J, Furrer P, Stasiak A, Doubochet J, Egelman EH, Bates AD: The twist, writhe and overall shape of supercolled DNA change during counterion-induced transition from loosley to a tightly Interwound superhelix. J Mol Biol 1994, 235:825-847.
- Gebe JA, Delrow JJ, Heath PJ, Fujimoto BS, Stewart DW, Schurr JM: Effects of Na+ and Mg2+ on the structure of supercoiled DNAs: comparison of simulations with experiments. J Mol Biol 1996, 262:105-128.

Charles and margination of the

. . .

- 44. Wang L, Bloomfield VA: Small-angle X-ray scattering of semidilute rodlike DNA solutions: polyelectrolyte behavior. Macromolecules 1991, 24:5791-5795.
- 45. Sedlak M, Amis EJ: Concentration and molecular weight regime diagram of salt-free polyelectrolyte solutions as studied by light scattering. J Chem Phys 1992, 96:826-834.
- 46. Kornyshev AA, Leikin S: Theory of interaction between helical •• molecules. J Chem Phys 1997, 107:3656-3674. This is probably the most significant formulary advance in over a decade on the interactions of helical molecules.
- Rhodes D, Klug A: Helical periodicity of DNA determined by enzyme digestion. Nature 1980, 286:573-578.
- Chandrasekaran R, Arnott S: The structure of B-DNA in oriented fibers. J Biomol Struct Dyn 1996, 13:1015-1027.

- 49. Mariani P, Saturni L: Measurement of intercolumnar forces between parallel guanosine four-stranded helices. Biophys J 1996, 70:2867-74.
- 50. Mariani P, Ciuchi F, Saturni L: Helix-specific interactions induce condensation of guanosine four-stranded helices in concentrated salt solutions. Biophys J 1998, 74:430-435. The authors describe force measurements on discotic liquid crystals of guanosine quartets. The paper is interesting also for the structure of telomeric sequences.
- 51. Leikin S, Rau DC, Parsegian VA: Temperature-favoured assembly of collagen is driven by hydrophilic not hydrophobic interactions. Nat Struct Biol 1995, 2:205-210.
- Harris AB, Kamien RD, Lubensky TC: On the macroscopic origin of cholesteric pitch. Phys Rev Lett 1997, 78:1476-1479. Theoretical observations on why chiral interactions in liquid crystals are typically much smaller than expected.